

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>G06F 17/60</b>	<b>A1</b>	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 98/59309</b> (43) International Publication Date: 30 December 1998 (30.12.98)
(21) International Application Number: <b>PCT/US98/12770</b> (22) International Filing Date: <b>19 June 1998 (19.06.98)</b> (30) Priority Data: <b>08/880,371</b> <b>23 June 1997 (23.06.97)</b> <b>US</b> (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): <b>ONE RIVER WORLDTREK, INC. [US/US]; P.O. Box 20193, New York, NY 10014 (US).</b> (72) Inventor; and (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): <b>HOUSTON, John, S. [US/US]; Apartment 544, 666 Greenwich Street, New York, NY 10014 (US).</b> (74) Agent: <b>MASON, Kevin, M.; Ryan &amp; Mason, LLP, 40 Cranston Street, Fairfield, CT 06430 (US).</b>		(81) Designated States: <b>AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, GW, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).</b>  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>

(54) Title: A COOPERATIVE SYSTEM FOR MEASURING ELECTRONIC MEDIA

(57) Abstract

A cooperative electronic media measurement system is disclosed which uses media handlers to obtain information from, or otherwise obtain information about, presented media objects, including identification tags, if present, for collection by research data collection agents and subsequent dispatch to a centralized media research controller. The media research controller registers advertisements and other media for subsequent measurement and provides a unique identification tag that may be added to, or associated with, the existing media object. Media objects are presented to a panel member by a panel member-computing device which may receive media objects by means of a network connection, from one or more local sources, or generate media objects in real-time, or a combination thereof. One or more research data collection agents are assigned to measure each panel member's exposure to and interactions with electronic media. Cooperative media handlers automatically obtain information from, or otherwise obtain information about, presented media objects including identification tags, if present, and other information, for collection by the research data collection agents. A research data collection agent will track a panel member, and collect such transmissions from the cooperative media handlers, when a panel member is in the scope of the research data collection agent. The research data collection agent (i) creates log entry objects from transmissions received from the cooperative media handlers and places the log entry objects into an unfiltered media queue, (ii) confirms the integrity of the messages and filters out unnecessary log entry objects from the unfiltered media queue to create a filtered media queue, (iii) creates dispatch objects using objects from the filtered media queue and places created dispatch objects into a dispatch queue, and (iv) transmits dispatch objects from the dispatch queue to the media research controller, when resources are available.



**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

## A COOPERATIVE SYSTEM FOR MEASURING ELECTRONIC MEDIA

### Technical Field

**5** The present invention relates generally to a system for measuring a population's exposure to and interactions with electronic media (hereinafter, "electronic media measurement systems"), and more particularly, to a cooperative electronic media measurement system using media handlers to extract information from, or otherwise obtain information about, presented media objects, including identification tags, if  
**10** present, for collection by software agents on behalf of a centralized media research facility.

### Background Art

The success of any advertising campaign depends on the accurate  
**15** placement of advertisements within media, and the verification that specific advertising messages were presented in accord with a predefined media plan. Generally, an advertising campaign is targeted for one or more segments of a population, with media planners determining the best media vehicles to reach the target audience. In this manner, the advertiser seeks to find the most efficient media to minimize the cost to  
**20** deliver a desired audience.

Thus, prior to executing a given advertising campaign, media planners use syndicated research, such as Nielsen ratings, to determine the best media vehicles to reach a target audience. In addition, media planners utilize other information sources to research and compare the costs associated with reaching an audience through each  
**25** available media vehicle. During a given advertising campaign, it is helpful to measure the target audience's exposure to the advertising messages, since media planners might make corrections in order to optimize the execution of the media plan. Likewise, after a given advertising campaign, media planners often analyze the execution of the campaign to confirm that the advertising messages reached the targeted audience to  
**30** determine the accuracy of the campaign's messages in reaching the targeted audience.

As audiences have fragmented, due to the increasing number of available channels and online options, it has become increasingly challenging for media planners to determine which media vehicles provide the best avenue to a given audience. The Internet, in particular, provides advertisers with many media options and is becoming  
5 ubiquitously available in an expanding variety of personal electronic devices, far beyond its initial limited availability to users via computer terminals and desktop computers. As with other media, advertising has become an important part of Internet revenue models. Much of the Internet's value to the advertising community is due to its enormous and evolving diversity of advertising formats, including the banner ad and  
10 Java applets, and its capability to deliver customized and relevant advertising to end users. For a more detailed discussion of advertising media, see D. Jugenheimer et al., *Advertising Media Strategy and Tactics* (W.C.B. Brown & Benchmark, 1992), incorporated by reference herein.

Thus, the Internet provides an efficient mechanism for matching the  
15 advertising message to the appropriate segment of the audience. Such diverse advertising formats, however, present challenges for measuring a population's exposure to and interactions with such advertisements. While the success of the Internet can be attributed, in large part, to its open media standards that permit the creation and delivery of content having diverse formats across many platforms, there is currently no user-  
20 centric system capable of adequately measuring the diverse media formats across the growing variety of Internet-enabled consumer platforms, consistent with the needs of the advertising community.

Generally, a given population's exposure to and interactions with media is measured by knowing the television channels and other information sources that the  
25 members of the population select. This can be performed either as a census, where the choices of the entire population are collected, or as a sample, where a statistically valid sub-population or panel is chosen to represent the entire population. Nielsen Media Research, for example, uses a panel of households, known as "Nielsen Families," for measuring television viewing. Such panels enable research companies to correlate  
30 demographics, such as age, gender, income and education, with choice of content.

Conventional content frequently contains, or is associated with, metadata that provides information about the content. For example, many broadcasters transmit information with conventional programming to help identify the content, for example, by program and episode. Nielsen, for example, extracts such accompanying information for measurement purposes to track the programs viewed by certain members of a panel. In addition, smart electronic program guides use such accompanying information to help individuals or their agents find content of interest.

Similarly, the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C), has endorsed the Platform for Internet Content Selection (PICS), which is an open standard for tagging information and coding content on the Internet. The PICS standard is designed to allow software to automatically filter content that individuals choose not to receive, such as violent content, according to a ratings system. The PICS standard provides parents and other individuals with the ability to select categories of content that can be automatically blocked, in a similar manner to V-chip technology, for conventional programming. While the PICS standard allows an entire web site or static pages to be rated, the PICS standard does not permit tagging content on an object level.

In addition, traditional electronic advertising, such as television and radio advertisements, have unique identification codes, or Industry Standard Commercial Identification (ISCI) codes, which are used for handling, broadcasting, storing and retrieving commercials. Under the ISCI standard, an ISCI alpha prefix and an ISCI numeric code identify each commercial. An ISCI prefix is assigned by ISCI to national and regional advertisers and advertising agencies. The ISCI code may be used in any manner, at the discretion of the prefix owner, provided the code consists of four letters followed by four numbers. Although ISCI codes are not presently encoded as computer-readable data with each advertisement, they might evolve to do so for Internet advertising, to better manage advertising on the Internet.

There exists both "pull" and "push" models for delivering Internet content. On traditional web sites, individuals "pull" content by browsing. These web sites can use tools to analyze the "hits" to their sites in real-time. Additionally, there exist "push" models of content delivery, such as provided by PointCast™. PointCast™ is a webcasting service that "pushes" or streams a variety of information, including

editorial and advertising content, to a receiving software component, such as their proprietary screensaver, or Microsoft's Internet Explorer browser, version 4.0. Presently, each PointCast™ subscriber self-reports demographic information. Therefore, PointCast™ can provide advertisers with user-centric information about advertising exposure. Self-monitoring, however, is contrary to advertising industry guidelines, which express a preference for measurement by a disinterested third party. In addition, the PointCast™ system is limited to measuring only electronic media distributed by PointCast™.

Even assuming that an independent auditor verified such site-centric measurements, the measurements often do not accurately reflect the activity of individuals. For example, many of the "hits" on a web site are associated with electronic agents that perform functions on the Internet on behalf of individuals. Examples of such electronic agents include web robots, issued by search engines such as those provided by Infoseek Corp. to index the contents of the Internet, and personal agents that automatically retrieve information from the Internet that matches the specified preferences of an individual. Thus, such electronic agents increase the traffic count of the respective web site, as they are not necessarily representative of an individual viewing Internet content. For example, an agent might download the entire contents of a site, while the user only views a single article. Proxy servers, on the other hand, which cache or copy Internet content to a local server or hard disk drive for subsequent access, can decrease the traffic count of a given web site. Proxy servers are used to reduce access time by storing a copy of information that was recently downloaded from a site. Thus, upon a subsequent request, the information can be delivered from the local server rather than the Internet without the knowledge of the web site traffic counter.

While conventional electronic media measurement systems, such as Nielsen Media Research's PeopleMeter™, have successfully measured traditional media, such as television and radio, such systems are not easily extendable to the Internet environment. In addition, the site-centric measurement approaches discussed above have proven unsatisfactory. In order to accurately measure a population's exposure to and interactions with such electronic media, a user-centric measurement

approach is needed which is based on a panel chosen to be statistically representative of the total population of interest. Current user-centric Internet measurement systems, however, such as the NPD Group's PC Meter™, are based on interception and interpretation of electronic media presented to members of a panel. Such interception techniques, however, rely on observing calls by software applications to the operating system and require privileged access into operating system internals. Furthermore, PC Meter™ is currently limited to household users of the Windows™ operating system, which may not be statistically representative of the total population of interest. For a more detailed discussion of the PC Meter™ system, see PCT Published Application Number WO 96/41495.

It is believed that observing operating system internals will become increasingly challenging, if not impossible, with the trend towards more secure operating systems and communication security. Windows NT™ from Microsoft™, for example, implements a concentric ring structure of ascending privilege ranging from an outermost ring of lowest privilege to an innermost ring of highest privilege, from which applications are excluded. As security services become more available to Internet applications, both for computer-to-computer communications and application-to-application communications, much of this internal traffic will be encrypted. In addition, such operating system monitoring techniques will be challenging to implement within the many proprietary implementations of Internet-enabled devices, such as WebTV™. Even assuming that such user-centric measurement systems are successful in obtaining access to these communications, it is very challenging to understand what the intercepted messages mean.

A recent industry article indicates that a new company, Relevant Knowledge Inc., of Atlanta, Georgia, has developed a real-time approach to compete with the PC Meter™ system. Although Relevant Knowledge Inc. did not comment publicly for the article, it does not appear that Relevant Knowledge Inc. is using a cooperative approach. Rather, it appears that Relevant Knowledge Inc. is monitoring information, using interception and interpretation, and leveraging the communication capabilities of the Internet to distribute their monitoring software to their panel members and to collect data in real-time.

As apparent from the above-described deficiencies with conventional electronic media measurement systems, a need exists for a universal system for measuring electronic media having diverse formats, including television, radio, Internet, and online services, across a plurality of platforms. A further need exists for a cooperating system that extends the open media standards of the Internet to measure a population's exposure to and interactions with such electronic media. Yet another need exists for a system to measure traditional television, radio, cable television, digital satellite programming and advertising delivered to households that use Internet-enabled computers and appliances for viewing, listening and interacting with such content.

10

### Summary of the Invention

Generally, according to one aspect of the invention, media information presented to a panel member by means of a panel member-computing device is measured by a media research controller for subsequent reporting to one or more research customers. The panel member-computing device may receive the media information by means of a network connection, or from one or more local sources, such as prerecorded media obtained from a CD-ROM or DVD player, or may generate the media objects in real-time, or a combination thereof. The media research controller registers advertisements and other media for subsequent measurement and provides a unique identification tag that may be added to, or associated with, the existing media object for identification purposes. In addition, the present invention extracts information from, or otherwise obtains information about, presented media objects, including metadata or other information associated with a given media object, for later collection by the media research controller even when the media object has not been previously registered and tagged by the media research controller. Thus, a panel member's exposure to and interactions with all electronic media is measured, regardless of whether the media has been previously registered or tagged for identification purposes. The panel members are preferably chosen for their demographics and have agreed to participate in a research panel to have their electronic media measured.

25  
30

The media research controller preferably assigns one or more software agents, hereinafter referred to as research data collection (RDC) agents, to measure each



panel member's exposure to and interactions with electronic media. Thus, each research data collection agent serves as an intermediary between the local environment of a panel member and the central media research controller. In one embodiment, a research data collection agent is associated with each computing device utilized by a given panel member. Thus, a single panel member might be assigned multiple research data collection agents, if required, to measure the panel member's use of electronic media across multiple devices. Furthermore, a single research data collection agent may serve a plurality of panel members utilizing the same computing device.

According to a further aspect of the invention, cooperative media handlers are utilized by the panel member-computing device to present media to a panel member and to extract information from, or otherwise obtain information about, the media objects, including identification tags, if present, for collection by the research data collection agents. As used herein, the term cooperating system means a system that relies on the media handler software which presents the media to cooperate by gathering and developing information about media activities of end users, and transmitting such activity information to the media research controller, either directly or indirectly via the research data collection agents, in effect acting as agents of the media research controller, as opposed to conventional approaches of intercepting and interpreting the media activities of end users.

As used herein, the term media handler includes persistent software components which extend the capabilities of a software application or operating system to present media objects of a particular media type to an individual and autonomous software components, such as Java applets, which may only temporarily extend the capabilities of the host to present media. In addition, the term media handler includes software applications that generate media experiences in real-time, such as video games, and resident software components, such as the PointCast™ agent, which present media to end users. In addition, the term media handler includes those portions of an Internet browser, also called "viewers" and "plug-ins", that are capable and responsible for decoding specific media types, such as JPEG images, and using the resources of their host to present the media to the end user. The media handlers may be mobile, moving from one host computer to another, or stable, anchored to one host.

Thus, in addition to their primary function of presenting media, the cooperative media handlers also serve as software agents for the research data collection agents, by gathering and deriving relevant information about the media presentation on behalf of the research data collection agents and then sending this information to the

**5** research data collection agents. The media handlers are in the best position to provide information about the media objects presented to the panel members, since the media handler can decode the particular media type, and determine what is presented to the individual, when it is presented and how the individual interacts with the object. In this manner, the media handler can report on a panel member's exposure to and interactions

**10** with a media object, such as zooming in on a particular feature of a media object or rotating the object, and the source of the control signals, such as a specific individual or process. In the case of stable, installed media handlers, such as video games or screensaver clients; or in the case of mobile, transient media handlers, such as a Java applet advertisement, they become cooperative through the implementation of an

**15** application programming interface (API), and communicate to the research data collection agents via their host. In all of these instances, the media handler's host might contribute contextual information, such as the web site of origin, to the media handler along with the media object.

The hosts of the research data collection agent and the cooperative media

**20** handlers preferably provide them with necessary computational resources, such as processor cycles, memory and communication. In the illustrative embodiment, an Internet browser software product, such as Netscape Navigator™ or Microsoft Internet Explorer™, resident on the panel member-computing device, serves as the host for both the research data collection agent and the cooperative media handler. In alternate

**25** embodiments, the host for one or both of the research data collection agent and the cooperative media handlers may be embodied as an operating system or a virtual machine, such as the Java virtual machine. The research data collection agents and the cooperative media handlers (the hosted processes) preferably use an application programming interface (API) to define the function calls which the hosted processes and

**30** their hosts use to communicate and share resources and services. In this manner,

different companies can develop interoperable research data collection agents, cooperative media handlers and hosts.

When electronic media is received by a cooperative media handler, the media handler automatically extracts information from, or otherwise obtains information  
**5** about, the media, including an identification tag, if present, for transmission to a research data collection agent. In addition to the extracted identification tag, if present, the cooperative media handler preferably transmits any content metadata included in or associated with the media object, an indication of the media handler's identification number and any contextual information which has been made available to the media  
**10** handler by its host, including program, episode, version, and source information for television and radio programming, or a source computer's domain name or IP address for a web site, and content rating information, such as PICS, if available.

A research data collection agent preferably commences tracking of a panel member, and begins collecting such transmissions from the cooperative media  
**15** handlers, when a panel member enters the scope of the research data collection agent and has been identified and authenticated, for example, following a log on procedure. Similarly, the research data collection agent suspends tracking of a panel member when the person leaves the scope of the remote media research agent, such as following a log off procedure. The research data collection agent (i) creates log entry objects from  
**20** transmissions received from the cooperative media handlers and places the log entry objects into an unfiltered media queue, (ii) confirms the integrity of the messages and filters out unnecessary log entry objects from the unfiltered media queue to create a filtered media queue, (iii) creates dispatch objects using objects from the filtered media queue and places created dispatch objects into a dispatch queue, and (iv) transmits  
**25** dispatch objects from the dispatch queue to the media research controller, when resources are available.

Identification tags are preferably placed at periodic intervals throughout the duration of continuous media, such as audio and video, or on an associated data channel. Since identification tags might be utilized by hostile software to automatically  
**30** remove advertising media objects, the identification tags are preferably placed in both the advertising, as well as the associated advertising-supported media objects to

discourage such automatic removal. In one preferred embodiment, "real" identification tags are placed in media that is to be measured (and a fraction of media that is not to be measured), and "dummy" identification tags are placed in other content. The research data collection agents can preferably distinguish real identification tags from dummy  
**5** identification tags, and, if desired for efficiency purposes, can only return measurements about registered media objects containing real identification tags to the media research controller.

A more complete understanding of the present invention, as well as further features and advantages of the present invention, will be obtained by reference to  
**10** the following detailed description and drawings.

#### **Brief Description of the Drawings**

FIG. 1 is a schematic block diagram illustrating a suitable communications network for interconnecting a panel member with one or more content  
**15** providers and a media research controller of an electronic media measurement system;

FIG. 2 illustrates the communications and cooperative relationship between the various entities shown in FIGS. 1, 3 and 4;

FIG. 3 is a schematic block diagram of the media research controller of  
FIG. 1;

**20** FIG. 4 is a schematic block diagram of a panel member-computing device of FIG. 1;

FIG. 5 illustrates a sample table from the panel member database table of  
FIG. 2;

**25** FIG. 6 illustrates a sample table from the registered media database table of FIG. 2;

FIG. 7 illustrates a sample table from the encryption keys database table  
of FIG. 2;

FIG. 8 illustrates a sample table from the log entry database table of FIG.  
2;

**30** FIG. 9 illustrates a sample table from the local panel member database table of FIG. 3;

FIG. 10 illustrates a sample table from the local encryption keys database table of FIG. 3;

FIG. 11 illustrates a sample log entry object from the media queues of FIG. 3;

**5** FIG. 12a is a flow chart describing an exemplary tag registration and encoding process as implemented by the media research controller of FIG. 3;

FIGS. 12b and 12c illustrate an exemplary identification tag format definition for a real identification tag and a dummy identification tag, respectively;

**10** FIG. 13 is a flow chart describing a data importing process as implemented by the media research controller of FIG. 3;

FIG. 14 is a flow chart illustrating a reporting process as implemented by the media research controller of FIG. 3;

FIG. 15a provides an overview of the processes shown in FIGS. 15b through 15f on the media queues of FIG. 4;

**15** FIG. 15b is a flow chart describing a logging process as implemented by the research data collection agent of FIG. 4;

FIG. 15c is a flow chart describing a filter process as implemented by the research data collection agent of FIG. 4;

**20** FIG. 15d is a flow chart describing a tag decoding subroutine as implemented by the research data collection agent of FIG. 4;

FIG. 15e is a flow chart describing a create-dispatch object process as implemented by the research data collection agent of FIG. 4;

FIG. 15f is a flow chart describing a dispatch process as implemented by the research data collection agent of FIG. 4;

**25** FIG. 16 is a flow chart describing a suitable host tracking process as implemented by the panel member-computing device of FIG. 4; and

FIG. 17 is a flow chart describing a cooperative media handler process as implemented by the panel member-computing device of FIG. 4.

**Detailed Description**

FIG. 1 shows an illustrative network environment for transferring media information, such as video, audio and data, from one or more content providers 110, 120, to a panel member 205 operating a panel member-computing device 400, discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 4, over one or more external networks, such as network 130. In an alternative or supplemental embodiment, discussed further below, the panel member-computing device 400 may be configured to receive media information from one or more local sources, such as prerecorded media obtained from a CD-ROM or DVD player, or to generate media objects in real-time, for example, by means of a video game generating an advertising image with the name of the panel member for placement on a wall inside a virtual world, or a combination thereof. According to a feature of the present invention, the media information presented to the panel member by means of the panel member-computing device 400 is measured by a media research controller 300, discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 3, for subsequent reporting to one or more research customers 150. It is noted that the reports may be generated by the media research controller 300 in real time, or historically, or both.

The external networks 130 shown in FIG. 1 include the Internet, the Public Switched Telephone Network ("PSTN") and networks for the delivery of radio and television programming, such as the Digital Satellite Service ("DSS<sup>TM</sup>"), cable television ("CATV") and other over-the-air transmission networks for broadcast television, radio and satellite communications. The PSTN, as used herein, includes the combination of local and long distance wire or wireless facilities and switches, as well as cellular network systems. The Internet, as used herein, includes the World Wide Web (the "Web") and other systems for storing and retrieving information using the Internet.

According to a feature of the present invention, the media research controller 300 registers advertisements and other media for subsequent measurement and provides a unique identification tag that may be added to, or associated with, the existing media object for identification purposes. In addition, the present invention extracts information from, or otherwise obtains information about, presented media objects, including metadata or other information associated with a given media object,

for later collection by the media research controller 300 even when the media object has not been previously registered and tagged by the media research controller 300. In this manner, the present invention measures a panel member's exposure to and interactions with all electronic media, regardless of whether the media has been previously

5 registered or tagged for identification purposes. The panel members are preferably chosen for their demographics and have agreed to participate in a research panel to have their electronic media measured. The panel is preferably constructed so that it is representative of and projectable to the entire population. It is noted that if a census is desired, as opposed to a panel, the panel simply consists of the entire population of

10 interest.

#### ENTITY RELATIONSHIPS AND INTERFACES

As shown conceptually in FIG. 2, the present invention preferably consists of a number of cooperating entities to measure electronic media presented to a panel member 205 by means of the panel member-computing device 400, namely, a

15 centralized media research controller 300, a research data collection agent 1500 and a cooperative media handler 1700. As previously indicated, the media research controller 300 measures a panel's exposure to and interactions with electronic media for subsequent reporting to one or more research customers 150. As used herein, the term cooperating system means a system that relies on the media handler software which

20 presents the media to cooperate, by gathering and developing information about media activities of end users, and transmitting such activity information to the media research controller, either directly or indirectly via the research data collection agents, in effect acting as agents of the media research controller, as opposed to conventional approaches of intercepting and interpreting the media activities of end users.

25 To this end, the media research controller 300 preferably assigns one or more software agents, referred to as research data collection agents 1500, discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 15, to measure each panel member's exposure to and interactions with electronic media. Thus, each research data collection agent 1500 serves as an intermediary between the local environment of a panel member 205 and the

30 central media research controller 300. In one embodiment, a research data collection agent 1500 is associated with each computing device 400 utilized by a given panel

member 205. Thus, a single panel member might be assigned multiple research data collection agents, if required to measure the panel member's use of electronic media across multiple devices. Furthermore, a single research data collection agent 1500 may serve a plurality of panel members utilizing the same computing device 400. It is not  
**5** necessary for the research data collection agent 1500 to be proximal to the associated panel member or the panel member's physical environment. Since the research data collection agent 1500 is user-centric, the agent 1500 might be a component of the person's net-centric environment and be activated as a side effect of the person obtaining access to network resources, or the agent 1500 may reside on a smartcard  
**10** carried by the individual.

In addition, the cooperative media handlers 1700, discussed below in conjunction with FIG. 17, are utilized by the panel member-computing device 400 to present media to the panel member 205 and to extract information from, or otherwise obtain information about, presented media objects, including identification tags, if  
**15** present, for collection by the research data collection agents 1500. Thus, in addition to their primary function of presenting media, the cooperative media handlers 1700 also serve as software agents for the research data collection agents 1500, by gathering and deriving relevant information about the media presentation on behalf of the research data collection agents and then sending this information to the research data collection  
**20** agents. It is noted that while some of the media handlers might be implemented as cooperative media handlers 1700, as described herein, the present invention is not dependent upon all of the media handlers being implemented in a cooperative manner. However, the extent of research data collection agent's 1500 ability to measure a panel member's exposure to and interactions with electronic media is limited to media  
**25** presented by means of a cooperative media handler 1700.

As used herein, a software agent is a software component that has the ability and authority to act on behalf of a controlling entity, generally instantiated as a process on a host computer. Each agent's controlling entity provides the agent with resources, such as encryption keys and a digital certificate for identification, and  
**30** delegates specific tasks to the agent. The software agents manage these tasks, and operate in an autonomous or semi-autonomous mode with respect to its controlling



entity. The software agents may be mobile, moving from one host computer to another, or stable, anchored at one host.

The software agent's host preferably provides the software agent with necessary computational resources, such as processor cycles, memory and communication. In the illustrative embodiment, discussed further below, an Internet browser software product, such as Netscape Navigator™ or Microsoft Internet Explorer™, resident on the panel member-computing device 400, serves as the host 1600 for the research data collection agent 1500 and the host 1600' for the cooperative media handler 1700 and provides them with the required computational resources. In alternate embodiments, the host for one or both of the research data collection agent and the cooperative media handlers may be embodied as an operating system or a virtual machine, such as the Java virtual machine. The research data collection agent 1500 preferably communicates with the media research controller 300 by means of the host's secure communication capabilities, such as SSL or S/MIME. In addition, the research data collection agent 1500 relies on its host 1600 to pass through data sent by the cooperative media handler 1700 for collection by a research data collection agent 1500.

The communications between the various entities are preferably sufficiently encrypted to protect the privacy of the panel members 205, the proprietary information and competitive interests of the media research controller 300 and the validity of the collected data, as would be apparent to a person of ordinary skill. Furthermore, to protect the privacy of non-panel members, the cooperative features of the hosts 1600, 1600', as described herein, are preferably initially disabled when distributed to end-users, and are only subsequently enabled when specifically authorized by a panel member who has agreed to participate in a research panel.

In some embodiments, the research data collection agent 1500 is not proximal to the panel member(s) it tracks, or the panel member's physical environment. For example, the research data collection agent might be hosted by the central computer of an online service. In other embodiments, the research data collection agent is hosted separately from the cooperative media handlers. For example, the research data collection agent 1500 might be located in a wristwatch worn by the panel member. Thus, the host services and resources may reside locally or be accessed by means of a

network connection, or a combination thereof, as would be apparent to a person of ordinary skill. It is noted that the research data collection agents 1500 and the cooperative media handlers 1700 might typically share the same host or might be hosted separately, for example, in an embodiment where the media research data collection agent 1500 associated with a panel member 205 is resident on a smartcard or wristwatch carried by an individual.

As illustrated in FIG. 2, the present invention relies on a number of cooperative interfaces between the various entities. A media object is presented to a panel member 205 by the cooperative media handler 1700 using a conventional bi-directional user interface 210. The cooperative media handler 1700 transmits identification tags and other information extracted from, or otherwise obtained about, media objects for collection by a research data collection agent 1500, by means of a cooperative interface 220. Upon receipt of an extracted identification tag or other obtained information from a media handler 1700, the research data collection agent 1500 sends a message to the media research controller 300, preferably over an external network 130, containing the extracted identification tag and other obtained information.

In addition, as previously indicated, the research data collection agents 1500 and the cooperative media handlers 1700 also require interfaces to their respective hosts 1600, 1600'. In a preferred embodiment, the research data collection agents 1500 and the cooperative media handlers 1700 (the hosted processes) use an application programming interface (API) to define the function calls which the hosted processes and their hosts, such as the host 1600, use to communicate and share resources and services. In this manner, different companies can develop interoperable research data collection agents, cooperative media handlers and hosts.

## ENTITY FUNCTIONS

As previously indicated, when electronic media is received by a cooperative media handler 1700, for presentation, the media handler 1700 automatically extracts information from, or otherwise obtains information about, presented media object, including an identification tag, if present, and other relevant information, as available, for transmission to a research data collection agent 1500. In addition to the extracted identification tag, if present, the cooperative media handler 1700 preferably

transmits any content metadata included in or associated with the media object, including program and episode information; an identification of media handler and its host; any contextual information which has been made available to the media handler 1700, such as an indication of the media source for television and radio programming, or

**5** a source computer's domain name or IP address for a web site; any presentation information or user interaction information, and content rating information, such as PICS, if available. Each of these information types is discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 8.

A research data collection agent 1500 preferably commences tracking of

**10** a panel member, and begins collecting such transmissions from the cooperative media handlers 1700, when a panel member enters the scope of the research data collection agent 1500 and has been identified and authenticated, for example, following a log on procedure. Similarly, the research data collection agent 1500 suspends tracking of a panel member 205 when the person leaves the scope of the remote media research agent

**15** 1500, such as following a log off procedure. The research data collection agent (i) creates log entry objects from transmissions received from the cooperative media handlers and places the log entry objects into an unfiltered media queue, (ii) confirms the integrity of the messages and filters out unnecessary log entry objects from the unfiltered media queue to create a filtered media queue, (iii) creates dispatch objects

**20** using objects from the filtered media queue and places created dispatch objects into a dispatch queue, and (iv) transmits dispatch objects from the dispatch queue to the media research controller, when resources are available.

The cooperative media handlers 1700 preferably are not aware of whether or not a research data collection agent 1500 is present and measuring the

**25** content presented to a panel member, for the same reason that the identities of Nielsen families are carefully concealed from the media sources that Nielsen measures, to prevent manipulation of the content presented to the panel members. Thus, the cooperative media handlers 1700 preferably transmit information extracted from, or otherwise obtained about, presented media objects, for collection by a research data

**30** collection agent 1500, whether or not a research data collection agent 1500 is present. For efficiency purposes, however, when the same host 1600 is hosting both the research

data collection agent 1500 and media handler 1700, the host may inhibit the cooperative media handler 1700 from transmitting information extracted from or obtained about media objects when a research data collection agent 1500 is not present, is not in a tracking mode or the panel members are not in scope.

- 5** According to a feature of the present invention, the media handlers 1700 thus cooperate with the research data collection agents 1500 by gathering and deriving relevant information about the media presentation on behalf of the research data collection agents and then sending this information to the research data collection agents. The media handlers 1700 are in the best position to provide information about
- 10** the media objects presented to the panel members 205, since the media handler is responsible for decoding or generating specific media objects, they determine what is presented, how it is presented, when it is presented and how the panel member 205 interacts with the presented media. Additionally, the media handlers 1700 can extract metadata from the media object and glean contextual information about the media object
- 15** from the media handler's host. Through their cooperation and assistance, the research data collection agent can gain access to information that might not be available in any other way.

- Since the media research controller 300 can measure a panel's exposure to and interactions with the cooperative media handlers 1700 themselves, in addition to
- 20** exposure to and interactions with the underlying media objects of interest, providers of media handlers are motivated to develop media handlers which cooperate with the software processes of the present invention, and thereby ensure that the media handlers provide the required functionality. In this manner, media handler developers can receive custom research reports to analyze the panel's exposure to and interactions with
- 25** such media handlers.

#### MEDIA TERMINOLOGY

- A media type is a means to represent media information, such as an image or a sound. For example, the PNG (Portable Network Graphics) file format is a media type for representing computer images. As used herein, a media object is an item
- 30** or instance of a media type. Currently, there are hundreds of media types in use. Typically, media types are implemented as files, and increasingly as objects, and allow

for some means of attaching metadata, or information about the data. In some cases, the metadata is implemented as a text field that resides in a header preceding the content data and can be extracted prior to decoding the content data. Generally, extraction of the header data is not computationally intensive, and can take place prior to or during

**5** the decoding and display or playback of the content.

Every media type must have a corresponding media handler, located at the end-user's client side to present a given media object to an individual. As used herein, the term media handler includes persistent software components which extend the capabilities of a software application or an operating system to present media objects

**10** of a particular media type to an individual and autonomous software components, such as Java applets, which may only temporarily extend the capabilities of the host to present media. In addition, the term media handler includes software applications that generate media experiences in real-time, such as video games, and resident software components, such as the PointCast™ agent, which present media to end users. In

**15** addition, the term media handler includes those portions of an Internet browser, also called "viewers" and "plug-ins", that are capable and responsible for decoding specific media types, such as JPEG images, and using the resources of their host to present the media to the end user. The media handlers may be mobile, moving from one host computer to another, or stable, anchored to one host.

**20** Typically, an Internet browser software product has a collection of internal media handlers, such as JPEG and GIF decoders. When a browser encounters a media object of a given media type, the browser transfers the media object to the appropriate media handler for decoding. In addition, most browsers incorporate "plug-in" architecture, which allows third parties to develop new media types and distribute

**25** corresponding media handlers as "plug-ins." For example, Netscape Navigator™ currently has over two hundred compatible plug-ins available.

It is noted that for continuous media, such as audio and video, identification tags are preferably placed at periodic intervals throughout the duration of the media or on an associated data channel. Since the identification tags might be

**30** utilized by hostile software to automatically remove advertising, the identification tags are preferably placed in both the advertising, as well as the associated advertising-

supported media objects to discourage such automatic removal. In one preferred embodiment, discussed below in conjunction with FIG. 12, real identification tags are placed in media that is to be measured (and a fraction that is not), and dummy identification tags are placed in other content. As discussed further below, in order to

**5** permit the research data collection agents 1500 to be tasked by the media research controller 300 to report only registered media, the research data collection agent 1500 can preferably distinguish real identification tags from dummy identification tags, and, if desired, return only real identification tags to the media research controller 300.

#### MEDIA RESEARCH CONTROLLER

**10** FIG. 3 is a block diagram showing the architecture of an illustrative media research controller 300. The media research controller 300 preferably includes certain standard hardware components, such as a central processing unit (CPU) 310, a random access memory (RAM) 320, a read only memory (ROM) 330, a clock 340, a communications port 350, and a data storage device 360. The CPU 310 is preferably

**15** linked to each of the other listed elements, either by means of a shared data bus, or dedicated connections, as shown in FIG. 3.

The CPU 310 may be embodied as a single commercially available processor, such as Intel's Pentium 100 MHz P54C microprocessor, Motorola's 120 MHz PowerPC 604 microprocessor or Sun Microsystems's 166 MHz UltraSPARC-I

**20** microprocessor. Alternatively, the CPU 310 may be embodied as a number of such processors operating in parallel, on one or more distributed processing nodes. The data storage device 360 and/or ROM 330 are operable to store one or more instructions, as discussed below in conjunction with FIGS. 12 through 14, which the CPU 310 is operable to retrieve, interpret and execute. The CPU 310 preferably includes a control

**25** unit, an arithmetic logic unit (ALU), and a CPU local memory storage device, such as, for example, an instruction cache or a plurality of registers, in a known manner. The control unit is operable to retrieve instructions from the data storage device 360 or ROM 330. The ALU is operable to perform a plurality of operations needed to carry out instructions. The CPU local memory storage device is operable to provide high-speed

**30** storage used for storing temporary results and control information.

As discussed further below in conjunction with FIGS. 5 through 8, the data storage device 360 includes a central database 370 for storing a panel member database table 500, a registered media database table 600, an encryption keys database table 700 and a log entry database table 800. The panel member database table 500  
**5** preferably stores personal and demographic information for each member of the panel. The registered media database table 600 preferably stores information about each media object that is registered with the media research controller 300, including the assigned tag identification number. The encryption keys database table 700 preferably stores the public key/private key pairs that are utilized in the illustrative embodiment to implement  
**10** secure communications. The log entry database table 800 preferably stores information that has been extracted from or obtained about media objects presented to panel members and provided to the media research controller 300 by the remote media research agents 1500.

In addition, as discussed further below in conjunction with FIGS. 12  
**15** through 14, the data storage device 360 preferably includes a registration and tag encoding process 1200, a data importing process 1300 and a reporting process 1400. Generally, the registration and tag encoding process 1200 registers a particular media object, and then places an assigned tag identification number in the registered media object, or otherwise associates the tag identification number with the media object. The  
**20** data importing process 1300 receives log dispatches from the remote media research agents 1500, verifies the authenticity and integrity of the received messages and places confirmed entries in the log entry table 800. The reporting process 1400 preferably generates reports in real-time or historically, to suit the needs of a particular research customer 150.

**25** The communications port 350 connects the media research controller 300 to the external networks 130, thereby linking the media research controller to each remote media research agent, as shown in FIGS. 1 and 2. The communications port 350 preferably includes multiple communication channels for simultaneously connecting the media research controller 300 to multiple research data collection agents.

## PANEL MEMBER-COMPUTING DEVICE

FIG. 4 is a block diagram showing the architecture of an illustrative panel member-computing device 400. The panel member-computing device 400 may be embodied as any device which presents media to individuals, including, for example, an Internet-enabled device, such as a network computer, a set-top box, a television, a telephone, pager, or personal digital assistant. The panel member-computing device 400 typically includes certain standard hardware components, such as a central processing unit (CPU) 410, a random access memory (RAM) 420, a read only memory (ROM) 430, a clock 440, a communications port 450, and a data storage device 460. Each of these components 410, 420, 430, 440, 450 and 460 may be identical to the corresponding components described above in conjunction with FIG. 2. In addition, the panel member-computing device 400 may include one or more output devices 470, such as a video display card and video monitor, a sound card and speaker, and one or more multimedia players 480, such as a CD-ROM or DVD device.

As discussed further below in conjunction with FIGS. 9 through 11, the data storage device 460 includes a local panel member database table 900, a local encryption keys database table 1000 and media queues 490. The local panel member database table 900 preferably stores an indication of whether each panel member associated with a given research data collection agent is currently within the scope of the agent. The local encryption keys database table 1000 preferably stores each of the public key values which are required by the research data collection agent 1500 to determine if a given extracted tag is a real tag or a dummy tag and for secure communications with the media research controller 300. The media queues 490 preferably store information that has been extracted from or obtained about media objects presented to panel members and provided to the research data collection agent by the media handlers. As discussed further below in conjunction with FIGS. 15a through 15f, the media queues 490 preferably consist of an unfiltered media queue 1150, a filtered media queue 1170, each containing log entry objects 1100, and a dispatch queue 1190, containing dispatch objects 1130.

In addition, as discussed further below in conjunction with FIGS. 15 through 17, the data storage device 460 preferably includes a research data collection



(RDC) agent 1500, a RDC/cooperative media handler host 1600 and one or more cooperative media handlers 1700. The research data collection (RDC) agent 1500 preferably includes (i) a logging process 1510 to create log entry objects from transmissions received from the cooperative media handlers and places the log entry

**5** objects into an unfiltered media queue, (ii) a filtering process 1530 to confirm the integrity of the messages and filter out unnecessary log entry objects from the unfiltered media queue, using a decoding subroutine 1550 to create a filtered media queue, (iii) a create dispatch process 1580 to create dispatch objects using objects from the filtered media queue and that places created dispatch objects into a dispatch queue, and (iv) a

**10** dispatch process 1595 to transmit dispatch objects from the dispatch queue to the media research controller, when resources are available. The RDC/cooperative media handler host 1600 preferably provides resources and services 1675 to hosted processes and executes a tracking process 1605 to notify the research data collection agents 1500 when a panel member enters or exits the agent's scope. The cooperative media handlers 1700

**15** preferably receive and decode media objects and extract information from, or otherwise obtain information about, presented media objects, including identification tags, if present, for collection by research data collection agents 1500.

It is noted that the research data collection agent process 1500 and related database tables 900, 1000, 1100 have been shown as part of the panel member-

**20** computing device 400 for illustrative purposes only, and could be resident on a device physically remote from the panel member 205 in alternate embodiments, as previously indicated, such as part of the panel member's net-centric environment which is activated as a side effect of the person obtaining access to network resources, or resident or hosted within a wristwatch that the panel member wears.

**25** The communications port 450 connects the panel member-computing device 400 to the external networks 130, thereby linking the computing device 400 to the media research controller 300 and content providers 110, 120, as shown in FIGS. 1 and 2. The communications port 450 preferably includes multiple communication channels for simultaneous connections.

## DATABASE TABLES

FIG. 5 illustrates an exemplary panel member database table 500 that preferably stores personal and demographic information for each member of the panel. The panel member database table 500 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 505-520, each associated with a different panel member. For each panel member identified by a panel member identifier in field 540, the panel member database table 500 includes the panel member's name, sex, age, city and state in fields 545 through 565, respectively. In addition, the panel member database table 500 includes an indication of the member's education level and income in fields 570 and 575, the associated research data collection agent in field 580, and the member's email address in field 585. The panel member identifier stored in field 540 may be utilized, for example, to index the log entry table 800, discussed below in conjunction with FIG. 8.

FIG. 6 illustrates an exemplary registered media database table 600 which preferably stores information about each media object which is registered with the media research controller 300, including the assigned tag identification number. The registered media database table 600 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 605-620, each associated with a different registered media object. For each registered media object identified by a registered media identification number ( $M_1$ ) in field 640, the registered media database table 600 includes an indication of the entity which registered the object, the associated agency and the media type in fields 645 through 655, respectively. In addition, an encrypted and digitally signed version of registered media identification number preferably serves as the identification tag,  $C_2$ , and is recorded in field 660. Finally, the anticipated starting and ending dates for which the media object will be distributed are recorded in fields 665 and 670.

FIG. 7 illustrates an exemplary encryption keys database table 700 that preferably stores the public key/private key pairs which are utilized by the media research controller 300 in the illustrative embodiment to implement encrypted communications with the various entities and other security features. In a preferred embodiment, the media research controller 300 generates public key/private key pairs and securely distributes the various public keys to the research data collection agents of the panel members. In some embodiments, the agents may be provided with initial

public keys prior to distributing the agent. Thus, the encryption keys database table 700 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 705-720, each associated with a different public key/private key pair. For each pair, identified by a key pair identifier in field 740, the encryption keys database table 700 includes an indication of the key pair owner in field 745, namely, the entity which holds the private key, such as the research data collection agent (RDCA) 1500 or the media research controller (MRC) 300. In addition, the encryption keys database table 700 includes the corresponding public key and private key values in fields 750 and 755, respectively.

FIG. 8 illustrates an exemplary log entry database table 800 which preferably stores information which has been extracted from or obtained about media objects presented to panel members and provided to the media research controller 300 by the research data collection agents, in a manner described further below. The log entry database table 800 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 805-820, each associated with a different log entry. For each log entry identified by an entry identifier in field 830, the log entry database table 800 includes an identifier of the research data collection agent 1500, cooperative media handler 1700, and media handler host 1600' associated with the log entry object in fields 835, 840 and 842, respectively. In this manner, the research media controller 300 can generate custom reports to analyze a panel's exposure to and interactions with the media handlers 1700 and media handler hosts 1600' themselves, in addition to the underlying media objects of interest. In addition, each logged entry includes an identifier of the particular media object and panel member associated with the entry in fields 845 and 850, as well as date and time stamps in fields 855 and 860. Each entry preferably also includes any metadata, contextual information, presentation information, and user interactions that were received in the log entry object, in fields 865, 870, 875 and 880, respectively.

As shown in FIG. 8, the metadata in field 865 may include program identification information and the corresponding media type. The contextual information in field 870 may include the URL and other information indicating the source of the corresponding media object, or the context in which it was presented to the panel member. The presentation information in field 875 may include the language and format in which the media object was presented to the panel member, or other

information indicating how the object was presented to the panel member, when alternative presentations are possible. Finally, the user interactions in field 880 may specify how the panel member interacted with the object, including whether the panel member zoomed in on portions of the media object, or rotated the object, as well as the size of the media object and any user inputs.

FIG. 9 illustrates an exemplary local panel member database table 900 which preferably stores a semaphore indicating whether each panel member associated with a given research data collection agent is currently within the scope of the agent. The local panel member database table 900 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 905-915, each associated with a different panel member. For each panel member identified by a panel member identifier in field 940, the local panel member database table 900 contains a semaphore in field 945 indicating whether or not the panel member is in scope.

FIG. 10 illustrates an exemplary local encryption keys database table 1000 which preferably stores each of the encryption key values which are required by the research data collection agent 1500 to determine if a given extracted tag is a real tag or a dummy tag and for secure communications with the media research controller 300. The local encryption keys database table 1000 maintains a plurality of records, such as records 1005-1020, each associated with a different encryption key. For each public key identified by a local key identifier in field 1040, the local encryption keys database table 1000 includes a key pair identifier in field 1045 and the key value in field 1050.

FIG. 11 illustrates an exemplary log entry object 1100 from the media queues 490, which preferably stores information which has been extracted from or obtained about a given media object presented to one or more panel members and provided to the research data collection agent 1500 by the cooperative media handlers 1700. The log entry object 1100 consists of a plurality of elements, including a date/time stamp 1102, panel member interactions 1104, media handler identifier 1106, presentation information 1108, identification tag 1110, panel member identifier 1112, contextual information 1114, metadata 1116 and the media handler host identifier 1118. Each of these information types has been described above in conjunction with FIG. 8.

In addition, the log entry object 1100 can include a copy of the media object itself, if desired.

### PROCESSES

As discussed above, the media research controller 300 preferably  
**5** executes a tag registration and encoding process 1200, shown in FIG. 12a, to register a particular media object, and to place an assigned tag identification number in the registered media object, or on a simultaneous channel. As illustrated in FIG. 12a, the media research controller 300 begins the processes embodying the principles of the present invention during step 1210 upon receipt of a request to register a particular  
**10** media object.

Thereafter, the media research controller 300 assigns a media identification key,  $M_1$ , during step 1215 and creates a record of the media object in the registered media database table 600. In one embodiment, the identification tags are based on an extended version of the ISCI standard. In a preferred embodiment, the  
**15** media research controller 300 utilizes a doubly encrypted identification tag to protect the security of the media identification key, which is preferably never made available outside the media research controller 300. Thus, the assigned media identification key,  $M_1$ , is preferably strongly encrypted with a private key,  $K_1$ , during step 1220 to produce a payload,  $C_1$ . Thereafter, the payload,  $C_1$ , is digitally signed with a private key,  $K_2$ , to  
**20** create a digital signature,  $DS_1$ , during step 1225 and then the digital signature,  $DS_1$ , is prepended to the payload,  $C_1$ , during step 1230 to produce a new message,  $M_2$ , shown in FIG. 12b. It is noted that the new message,  $M_2$ , may optionally include bit padding to increase  $C_1$  to a predefined length. Finally, the new message,  $M_2$ , is encrypted with a private key,  $K_3$ , during step 1235 to produce a real tag,  $C_2$ . For a more detailed  
**25** discussion of suitable encryption and security techniques, see B. Schneier, Applied Cryptography (2d ed. 1997), incorporated by reference herein.

Thereafter, the real tag,  $C_2$ , is placed in the media object during step 1240, or on a simultaneous channel, and also placed in field 660 of the registered media database 600. The identification tag can be bound to the content in a variety of ways.  
**30** Preferably, the advertisement or other media is represented as an object, and has been designed to implement the cooperative approach associated with the present invention

by reserving a field or sub-object for storing the identification tag. It is important that the identification tag does not interfere in any way with the normal use of the media by any media handler or media handler host that has not implemented the cooperative API described herein. Binding can be generally achieved since the most prevalent media file formats and datatypes support extension through user-defined chunks or objects. As a last resort, the identification tag might be stored within an embedded comment field, into its URL, or even through steganography (hidden codes).

Finally, the media object is released for distribution during step 1245, before program control ends during step 1250.

As discussed above, dummy identification tags may be utilized in some content to prevent hostile software from automatically removing advertising media objects. To promote the use of dummy identification tags, they are preferably constructed simply as a random sequence of  $n$  bits, as shown in FIG. 12c. In an alternate embodiment, the functionality for generating both real and dummy identification tags and encoding the identification tags into the media objects can be performed by third parties, such as advertising agencies or commercial producers, including edit houses or production facilities.

As discussed above, the media research controller 300 preferably executes a data importing process 1300, shown in FIG. 13, to receive log dispatches from the remote media research agents 1500, verify the authenticity and integrity of the received messages and place confirmed entries in the log entry table 800. As illustrated in FIG. 13, the media research controller 300 executes the data importing process upon receipt of a log dispatch from a research data collection agent during step 1310.

Thereafter, the data importing process verifies the authenticity and integrity of the dispatch during step 1320 and then decrypts the dispatch during step 1330. Finally, the data importing process places all confirmed entries from the dispatch in the log entry database table 800 during step 1340 before program control terminates during step 1350.

As previously indicated, the media research controller 300 preferably executes a real-time reporting process 1400, or a non-real-time reporting process 1400', to generate reports suited to the needs of a particular research customer 150. As shown

in FIG. 14, the data importing process 1300, discussed above, will create records of data received from the research data collection agents 1500 in a central database 370, from which the reports will be generated. The reports will be formatted to present available data, in a conventional manner, according to the needs one or more research customers

**5** 150. The customers 150 can access the reports over the external networks 130, or the reports can be printed off-line and provided to the customer 150, as would be apparent to a person of ordinary skill.

#### RESEARCH DATA COLLECTION AGENT

As shown in FIG. 15a, the research data collection agent 1500 preferably

**10** includes a logging process 1510, a filter process 1530, a create-dispatch object process 1580 and a dispatch process 1595, discussed further below in conjunction with FIGS. 15b, 15c, 15e and 15f, respectively. The logging process 1510 is executed by the research data collection agent 1500 to receive messages from cooperative media handlers 1700 and create entry objects in an unfiltered media queue 1150. The filter

**15** process 1530 is executed to filter out dummy tags and other unnecessary information from the unfiltered media queue 1150 to create a filtered media queue 1170. Finally, the research data collection agent 1500 executes the create-dispatch object process 1580 to place the entries from the filtered media queue 1170 into a dispatch queue 1190 for transmission to the media research controller 300, by the dispatch process 1195 when

**20** resources are available. It is noted that the research data collection agent 1500 may also receive information regarding specific transactions made by an associated panel member, from a cooperative financial or end-user transaction process, as would be apparent to a person of ordinary skill, based on the disclosure herein.

As previously indicated, the research data collection agent 1500 executes

**25** a logging process 1510, shown in FIG. 15b, to receive messages from the cooperative media handler 1700 and to create entries in the unfiltered media queue 1150. Thus, the logging process 1510 is entered during step 1515 upon receipt by the research data collection agent 1500 of a message from a cooperative media handler 1700 by means of the host 1600 of the media handler 1700, containing an extracted identification tag,  $C_2'$ ,

**30** if available, and other information. Thereafter, the logging process 1510 creates a log entry object 1100 with the identification tag and other received information in the

unfiltered media queue 1150 during step 1520, which is added to the tail of the unfiltered media queue 1150 during step 1525 before program control terminates during step 1528.

As discussed above, the research data collection agent 1500 periodically  
**5** executes a filter process 1530, shown in FIG. 15c, to filter out dummy tags and other unnecessary information from the unfiltered media queue 1150 to create a filtered media queue 1170. As shown in FIG. 15c, the filter process 1530 performs a test during step 1532 to determine if the unfiltered media queue 1150 is empty. If it is determined during step 1532 that the unfiltered media queue 1150 is empty, then program control  
**10** ends during step 1548. If, however, it is determined during step 1532 that the unfiltered media queue 1150 is not empty, then a log entry object 1100 is retrieved from the head of the unfiltered media queue 1150 during step 1534.

Thereafter, a test is performed during step 1535 to determine whether the media research controller 300 has instructed the research data collection agent 1500 to  
**15** return information only about registered media. If it is determined during step 1535 that the media research controller 300 has not instructed the research data collection agent 1500 to return information only about registered media, then program control proceeds to step 1540. If, however, it is determined during step 1535 that the media research controller 300 has instructed the research data collection agent 1500 to return  
**20** information only about registered media, then a decoding subroutine 1550, discussed below in conjunction with FIG. 15d, is executed during step 1536 to determine if the received tag,  $C_2'$ , stored in the current entry object 1100 of the unfiltered media queue 1150, contains a real identification tag, which is generally associated with registered media.

**25** After the subroutine 1550 executes, a test is performed during step 1538 to determine if the received tag,  $C_2'$ , stored in the current log entry object 1100, contains a real identification tag. If it is determined during step 1538 that the received tag,  $C_2'$ , stored in the current log entry object 1100, does not contain a real identification tag, then program control returns directly to step 1532 to process additional log entry objects  
**30** 1100, if any. If, however, it is determined during step 1538 that the received tag,  $C_2'$ , stored in the log entry object 1100, does contain a real identification tag, then the



current log entry object 1100 is placed in the filtered media queue 1170 during step 1540. Thereafter, program control returns to step 1532 to process additional log entry objects 1100, if any, in the manner described above.

In this manner, for efficiency purposes, if the research data collection agent 1500 is tasked by the media research controller to report only registered media, then the research data collection agent 1500 preferably only returns log entry objects 1100 containing real identification tags to the media research controller 300. The research data collection agent 1500 preferably does not know, however, whether or not the media object containing a real identification tag is an advertisement. As previously indicated, real identification tags are preferably placed in a small percentage of the advertising-subsidized media objects as a countermeasure against hostile advertising filtering software. Thus, if the research data collection agent's local encryption keys  $K_2$  and  $K_3$  are compromised and obtained by advertising filtering software, the advertising filtering software erroneously filters out some non-advertising media objects. It is noted that advertising filtering software is further discouraged by the preferred selection of challenging encryption techniques, since the research data collection agent 1500 can evaluate the authenticity of a received tag hours after the media is presented, while the advertising filtering software typically would need to decrypt the identification tags in real-time.

As previously indicated, the filter process 1530 executes a decoding subroutine 1550, shown in FIG. 15d, during step 1536 to determine if the received tag,  $C_2'$ , stored in the current record of the unfiltered media log 1100, contains a real identification tag. The decoding subroutine 1550 is entered during step 1554, where the received tag,  $C_2'$ , is decrypted to create a message,  $M_2'$ , using the value of the public key,  $K_3$ , stored in the local encryption keys database table 1000. The decoding subroutine 1550 then creates a digital signature,  $DS_1'$ , from the first  $m$  bits of the message,  $M_2'$ , and creates  $C_1'$  from the remainder during step 1558.

Thereafter, a test is performed during step 1562 to determine if the digital signature,  $DS_1'$ , is a valid digital signature of  $C_1'$ . If it is determined during step 1562 that the digital signature,  $DS_1'$ , is a valid digital signature of  $C_1'$  then the decoding subroutine returns an indication during step 1564 to the filter process 1530 that the

received tag is a real identification tag. If, however, it is determined during step 1562 that the digital signature,  $DS_i'$ , is not a valid digital signature of  $C_i'$ , then the decoding subroutine returns an indication during step 1568 to the filter process 1530 that the received tag is an invalid or dummy identification tag. In order to implement the

**5** security algorithms discussed in FIG. 15d, the research data collection agent 1500 preferably has access to the necessary cryptographic services through its host, and has received the required public keys,  $K_2$  and  $K_3$ , by means of a secure distribution method from the media research controller 300.

As discussed above, the research data collection agent 1500 executes a

**10** create-dispatch object process 1580, shown in FIG. 15e, to place entries from the filtered media queue 1170 into a dispatch queue 1190 for transmission by the dispatch process 1190, shown in FIG. 15f, to the media research controller 300, when resources are available. Initially, the create-dispatch object process 1580 performs a test during

**15** step 1581 to determine if the filtered media queue 1170 is empty. If it is determined during step 1581 that the filtered media queue 1170 is empty, then program control ends during step 1582.

If, however, it is determined during step 1581 that the filtered media queue 1170 is not empty, then a further test is performed during step 1583 to determine if a dispatch object 1130 already exists. If it is determined during step 1583 that a

**20** dispatch object 1130 already exists, then program control proceeds directly to step 1585. If, however, it is determined during step 1583 that a dispatch object 1130 does not already exist, then a new dispatch object 1130 is created during step 1584.

Thereafter, a log entry object 1100 is retrieved from the head of the filtered media queue 1150 during step 1585, and placed in the dispatch object 1130.

**25** Thereafter, a test is performed during step 1587 to determine if the filtered media queue 1170 is empty or if the dispatch object 1130 is full. If it is determined during step 1585 that the filtered media queue 1170 is not empty and that the dispatch object 1130 is not full, then program control returns to step 1581 to continue processing additional log entry objects 1100 from the filtered media queue 1170, if any.

**30** If, however, it is determined during step 1585 that the filtered media queue 1170 is empty or that the dispatch object 1130 is full, then the dispatch object

1130 will be compressed and digitally signed during step 1588. Thereafter, the compressed dispatch object 1130 will preferably be encrypted during step 1589 using the public key,  $K_a$ , of the media research controller 300. Finally, the compressed and encrypted dispatch object 1130 will be added to the tail of the dispatch queue 1190

**5** during step 1590 and program control returns to step 1581 and continues in the manner discussed above.

As previously indicated, a dispatch process 1595, shown in FIG. 15f, transmits dispatch objects 1130 from the dispatch queue 1190 to the media research controller 300, when resources are available. Thus, a test is initially performed during

**10** step 1596 to determine if the dispatch queue 1190 is empty. If it is determined during step 1596 that the dispatch queue 1190 is empty, then program control terminates during step 1597. If, however, it is determined during step 1596 that the dispatch queue 1190 is not empty, then a dispatch object 1130 is removed from the head of the dispatch queue 1190 during step 1598 and sent to the media research controller 300 during step

**15** 1599. Thereafter, program control returns to step 1596 to process additional dispatch objects 1130, if any, in the manner described above.

#### HOST PROCESS(ES)

As previously indicated, the RDC/cooperative media handler host 1600 preferably executes a tracking process 1605, shown in FIG. 16, to notify the hosted

**20** research data collection agents 1500 when a panel member enters or exits the agent's scope. In addition, as discussed above, the research data collection agents 1500 and the cooperative media handlers 1700 preferably rely on services and resources provided by the host processes for processing, security, storage and communication. In the illustrative embodiment discussed herein, an Internet browser software product, such as

**25** Netscape Navigator™ or Microsoft Internet Explorer™, resident on the panel member-computing device 400, serves as the host for both the research data collection agent 1500 and the cooperative media handler 1700 and provides them with computational resources.

Thus, as shown in FIG. 16, an illustrative tracking process 1605

**30** continuously reports when a panel member enters or exits its scope during step 1610. Once the tracking process 1605 determines that a panel member has entered the scope of

a research data collection agent, a message is sent to the hosted research data collection agent 1500 during step 1620 monitoring the panel member indicating that a panel member has entered the agent's scope.

5           Thereafter, the tracking process 1605 continuously monitors the panel member during step 1630 until the panel member leaves the scope of the hosted research data collection agent 1500. If it is determined during step 1630 that the panelist has left the scope of the hosted research data collection agent 1500, then a message is sent to the hosted research data collection agent 1500 during step 1640 indicating that a panel member has left the agent's scope. A test is then performed during step 1645 to  
10          determine if tracking should be suspended. If it is determined during step 1645 that tracking should not be suspended, then program control returns to step 1610 to continue tracking, in the manner described above. If, however, it is determined during step 1645 that tracking should be suspended, then program control terminates during step 1650.

#### COOPERATIVE MEDIA HANDLERS

15           As discussed above, the cooperative media handler process 1700, shown in FIG. 17, preferably presents media objects and extracts information from, or otherwise obtains information about, presented media objects, including identification tags, if present, for collection by research data collection agents 1500, whether or not a research data collection agent 1500 is actually present. In an alternate implementation,  
20          the cooperative media handler 1700 can transmit all information obtained about a media object directly to the media research controller 300 and perform all other tasks associated with the research data collection agents 1500. In other words, the cooperative media handler 1700 can directly serve as the software agent of the media research controller 300, without the need of an intermediary research data collection  
25          agent 1500, as would be apparent to a person of ordinary skill.

          In one embodiment, the media handler 1700 is provided with one or more remotely configurable settings which may be dynamically specified by the research data collection agent 1500 or the media research controller 300 to help filter out information which is not of interest to the research data collection agent 1500.  
30          Alternatively, the media handler 1700 can query its host to identify the information of interest to the research data collection agent 1500. If, however, the host does not want

to inform the media handler 1700 that no research data collection agent 1500 is present, the host might have the media handler 1700 pass everything. Thus, the media handler 1700 preferably reports information according to instructions received from the research data collection agent 1500 via its host.

- 5** As shown in FIG. 17, a cooperative media handler 1700 is initiated by its host 1600', such as the illustrative Internet browser, upon receipt of a media object having a media type that is compatible with the media handler. Thereafter, the media handler process 1700 decodes and parses the media object during step 1705, in a known manner. A test is then performed during step 1710 to determine if there is media object
- 10** information associated with the media object, such as an identification tag, metadata or contextual information. As previously indicated, metadata may include program identification information and the corresponding media type and contextual information may include the URL and other information indicating the source of the corresponding media object, or the context in which it was presented to the end user. If it is
- 15** determined during step 1710 that there is media object information associated with the media object, then a test is performed during step 1785 to determine if a message has already been created for the research data collection agent 1500. If it is determined during step 1785 that a message has already been created for the research data collection agent 1500, then program control proceeds directly to step 1795. If, however, it is
- 20** determined during step 1785 that a message has not yet been created for the research data collection agent 1500, then a message is created during step 1790.

- Thereafter, the media object information identified during step 1710 is added to the agent message during step 1795. Thereafter, a further test is performed during step 1720 to determine if there is media to present to a user. If it is determined
- 25** during step 1720 that there is no media to present to a user, then program control proceeds directly to step 1740. If, however, it is determined during step 1720 that there is media to present to a user, then a conventional presentation process is performed during step 1725 to present the media. A test is then performed during step 1730 to determine if there is presentation information to report to the research data collection
- 30** agent 1500. As previously indicated, presentation information may include the language and format in which the media object was presented to the panel member, or

other information indicating how the object was presented to the panel member, when alternative presentations are possible. If it is determined during step 1730 that there is no presentation information to report to the research data collection agent 1500, then program control proceeds directly to step 1760. If, however, it is determined during

**5** step 1730 that there is presentation information to report to the research data collection agent 1500, then the presentation information identified during step 1730 is added to the message during step 1735.

A test is performed during step 1740 to determine if user interactions are received during the presentation of the media object. If it is determined during step

**10** 1740 that user interactions are received during the presentation of the media object, then program control proceeds directly to step 1760. If, however, it is determined during step 1740 that user interactions are received during the presentation of the media object, then the user interactions are received and processed during step 1745. A test is then performed during step 1750 to determine if there is user interaction information to

**15** report to the research data collection agent 1500. As previously indicated, user interaction information may specify how the panel member interacted with the object, including whether the panel member zoomed in on portions of the media object, or rotated the object, as well as the size of the media object and any user inputs.

If it is determined during step 1750 that there is no user interaction

**20** information to report to the research data collection agent 1500, then program control proceeds directly to step 1760. If, however, it is determined during step 1750 that there is user interaction information to report to the research data collection agent 1500, then the user interaction information identified during step 1750 is added to the message during step 1755.

A test is performed during step 1760 to determine if the presentation of the media object is finished. If it is determined during step 1760 that the presentation of the media object is not finished, then program control will return to step 1705 to continue processing in the manner described above. If, however, it is determined during

**25** step 1760 that the presentation of the media object is finished, then a test is performed

**30** during step 1765 to determine if there is a message to be sent to the research data collection agent 1500. If it is determined during step 1765 that there is a message to be

sent to the research data collection agent 1500, then the message is completed during step 1770, for example, by adding media handler identifying information, and a final time and date stamp. Thereafter, the completed message is sent to the research data collection agent 1500. Program control will then terminate during step 1780.

- 5** It is to be understood that the embodiments and variations shown and described herein are merely illustrative of the principles of this invention and that various modifications may be implemented by those skilled in the art without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention.

I claim:

1. A method for measuring the exposure of a panel to electronic media, said panel comprising a plurality of panel members, said method comprising the steps of:  
**5** associating an identification tag with said electronic media,  
associating one or more software agents with each of said panel members, said software agents receiving said identification tag from a cooperative media handler which obtains said identification tag if said electronic media is presented; and  
**10** obtaining a message from said software agent containing said obtained identification tag.
2. The method according to claim 1, wherein said message further comprises information identifying said panel member who was presented with said  
**15** electronic media associated with said obtained identification tag.
3. The method according to claim 1, wherein said measurement method is transparent to said panel member and does not impose a substantial computational load on a computing device used by said panel member.  
**20**
4. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of generating reports on said exposure.
5. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of  
**25** measuring the interactions of said panel member with said electronic media.
6. The method according to claim 1, wherein said panel members are chosen for their demographics and have agreed to participate in a research panel to have their electronic media measured.  
**30**



7. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of providing a software agent for each computing device utilized by a given panel member.
8. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of placing  
**5** said identification tags at periodic intervals throughout the duration of continuous electronic media.
9. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of placing real identification tags in said electronic media to be measured.
- 10**
10. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of placing real identification tags in at least a portion of electronic media that is not to be measured.
- 15**
11. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of placing dummy identification tags in at least a portion of electronic media that is not to be measured.
12. The method according to claim 9, further comprising the steps of:  
**20** placing dummy identification tags in at least a portion of electronic media that is not to be measured; and  
determining whether one of said identification tags is a real identification tag associated with said electronic media to be measured or a dummy identification tag.
- 25**
13. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of receiving information identifying said electronic media from said cooperative media handler in order that electronic media presented to one of said panel members not containing an identification tag may be measured.

14. A method for measuring the exposure of a population to electronic media, said population comprising a plurality of individuals, said method comprising the steps of:

- 5** associating an identification tag with said electronic media,  
associating one or more software agents with each of said individuals, said software agents receiving said identification tag from a cooperative media handler which obtains said identification tag if said electronic media is presented; and  
obtaining a message from said software agent containing said obtained identification tag.

**10**

15. The method according to claim 14, wherein said message further comprises information identifying said individual who was presented with said electronic media associated with said obtained identification tag.

**15**

16. The method according to claim 14, wherein said measurement method is transparent to said individual and does not impose a substantial computational load on a computing device used by said individual.

**20**

17. The method according to claim 14, further comprising the step of generating reports on said exposure.

18. The method according to claim 14, further comprising the step of measuring the interactions of said individual with said electronic media.

**25**

19. The method according to claim 14, wherein a software agent is provided for each computing device utilized by a given individual.

20. The method according to claim 14, wherein said identification tags are placed at periodic intervals throughout the duration of continuous electronic media.

**30**

21. The method according to claim 14, wherein real identification tags are placed in said electronic media to be measured.
22. The method according to claim 14, wherein real identification tags are placed in at least a portion of electronic media that is not to be measured.
23. The method according to claim 14, wherein dummy identification tags are placed in at least a portion of electronic media that is not to be measured.
24. The method according to claim 21, further comprising the steps of:  
placing dummy identification tags in at least a portion of electronic media that is not to be measured; and  
determining whether one of said identification tags is a real identification tag associated with said electronic media to be measured or a dummy identification tag.
25. The method according to claim 14, further comprising the step of receiving information identifying said electronic media from said cooperative media handler in order that electronic media presented to one of said panel members not containing an identification tag may be measured.
26. A method for measuring the exposure of an audience to electronic media, said audience comprising a plurality of individuals, said method comprising the steps of:  
associating one or more software agents with each of said individuals, said software agents receiving information identifying said electronic media from a cooperative media handler which obtains said identifying information if said electronic media is presented; and  
obtaining a message from said software agent containing said identifying information.

27. The method according to claim 26, wherein said message further comprises information identifying said individual associated with said obtained identification tag.
- 5** 28. The method according to claim 26, wherein said cooperative media handler may be remotely configured to specify portions of said identifying information to obtain and provide to said software agent.
29. The method according to claim 26, wherein said cooperative media  
**10** handler filters said identifying information provided to said software agent.
30. The method according to claim 26, wherein said software agent filters said identifying information provided to a research facility measuring the exposure of said audience to electronic media.  
**15**
31. The method according to claim 26, further comprising the step of measuring the interactions of said individual with said electronic media.
32. The method according to claim 26, wherein said identifying information  
**20** is an identification tag.
33. The method according to claim 26, wherein said identifying information comprises metadata.
- 25** 34. The method according to claim 26, wherein said identifying information comprises presentation information.
35. The method according to claim 26, wherein said identifying information includes a cooperative media handler identifier.  
**30**

36. The method according to claim 26, wherein said identifying information includes an identifier of the host of said cooperative media handler.

5 37. The method according to claim 26, wherein said identifying information comprises any contextual information made available to the cooperative media handler by its host

38. A method for measuring electronic media presented to an individual, said method comprising the steps of:

10 associating one or more software agents with said individual, said software agents receiving information identifying said electronic media from a cooperative media handler which obtains said identifying information about said electronic media if said electronic media is presented; and

15 obtaining a message from said software agent containing said obtained information.

39. The method according to claim 38, wherein said measurement method is transparent to said individual and does not impose a substantial computational load on a computing device used by said individual.

20

40. The method according to claim 38, further comprising the step of measuring the interactions of said individual with said electronic media.

25 41. The method according to claim 38, wherein said obtained information is an identification tag.

42. The method according to claim 38, wherein said obtained information comprises contextual information.

30 43. The method according to claim 38, wherein said obtained information includes any content metadata included in or associated with the media object.

44. The method according to claim 38, wherein said obtained information includes an identifier of said cooperative media handler host.
- 5** 45. The method according to claim 38, wherein said obtained information includes an identifier of the host of said cooperative media handler.
46. The method according to claim 38, wherein said obtained information comprises presentation information.
- 10** 47. The method according to claim 38, wherein a software agent is preferably associated with each computing device utilized by said individual.
48. The method according to claim 38, wherein said message further
- 15** comprises information identifying said individual.
49. A method of presenting electronic media to an individual using a cooperative media handler, said method comprising the step of:
- 20** decoding said electronic media;
- obtaining information identifying said electronic media;
- presenting said electronic media to said individual; and
- providing said obtained information for collection by a software agent, said software agent being assigned to track said individual.
- 25** 50. The method according to claim 49, further comprising the step of remotely configuring said cooperative media handler to specify portions of said information identifying said electronic media to obtain and provide to said software agent.
- 30** 51. The method according to claim 49, further comprising the step of filtering said information provided to said software agent.

52. The method according to claim 49, further comprising the step of measuring the interactions of said individual with said electronic media.
- 5** 53. The method according to claim 49, wherein said obtained information is an identification tag.
54. The method according to claim 49, wherein said obtained information includes metadata.
- 10** 55. The method according to claim 49, wherein said obtained information includes contextual information.
56. The method according to claim 49, wherein said obtained information
- 15** includes an identifier of said cooperative media handler.
57. The method according to claim 49, wherein said obtained information includes an identifier of the host of said cooperative media handler.
- 20** 58. The method according to claim 49, wherein a software agent is preferably associated with each computing device utilized by a given individual.
59. A method of presenting electronic media to an individual using a cooperative media handler, said method comprising the step of:
- 25** decoding said electronic media;
- obtaining information identifying said electronic media;
- presenting said electronic media to said individual; and
- providing said obtained information and information identifying said individual to a research facility measuring the exposure of said individual to electronic
- 30** media.

60. The method according to claim 59, wherein said obtained information is provided directly to said research facility.
- 5 61. The method according to claim 59, wherein said obtained information is provided indirectly to said research facility by means of a software agent assigned to track said individual.
62. The method according to claim 59, wherein said obtained information is an identification tag.
- 10 63. The method according to claim 59, wherein said obtained information is a program descriptor.
64. A cooperative media handler for presenting electronic media to a member of a research panel, comprising:
- 15 means for decoding said electronic media;  
means for obtaining information identifying said electronic media;  
means for presenting said electronic media to said member; and  
means for providing said obtained information and information
- 20 identifying said individual to a research facility measuring the exposure of said research panel to electronic media.
65. The cooperative media handler according to claim 64, wherein said obtained information is provided directly to said research facility.
- 25 66. The cooperative media handler according to claim 64, wherein said obtained information is provided indirectly to said research facility by means of a software agent assigned to track said individual.
- 30 67. The cooperative media handler according to claim 64, wherein said obtained information is an identification tag.



68. The cooperative media handler according to claim 64, wherein said obtained information is metadata associated with said electronic media.

- 5** 69. A method of measuring the exposure of an audience to electronic media, said audience comprising a plurality of individuals, said method comprising the steps of:
- measuring electronic media presented to one or more of said individuals;
- receiving information identifying said electronic media from a cooperative media handler which obtains said information if said electronic media is
- 10** presented; and
- providing a message to a research facility measuring the exposure of said audience to electronic media.

70. The method according to claim 69, wherein said measurement method is
- 15** transparent to said individual and does not impose a substantial computational load on a computing device used by said individual.

71. The method according to claim 69, wherein a software agent is preferably associated with each computing device utilized by a given individual.

**20**

72. The method according to claim 71, wherein said software agent filters said messages provided to said research facility.

73. A method of obtaining information identifying electronic media, said
- 25** method comprising the steps of:

- providing a cooperative media handler to decode said electronic media, said cooperative media handler obtaining said information;
- presenting said electronic media object to a panel member; and
- providing said obtained information for collection by a software agent,
- 30** said software agent being assigned to track one or more panel members.

74. The method according to claim 73, further comprising the step of generating reports on said exposure.

5 75. The method according to claim 73, further comprising the step of measuring the interactions of said individual with said electronic media.

76. The method according to claim 73, further comprising the step of providing a software agent for each computing device utilized by a given panel member.

10 77. A method for measuring the exposure of a panel to a cooperative media handler, said panel comprising a plurality of panel members, said method comprising the steps of:

associating one or more software agents with each of said panel members, said software agents collecting information about the exposure of said panel members to said cooperative media handler; and

15 providing at least a portion of said collected information to a provider of said cooperative media handler.

20 78. The method according to claim 77, wherein said cooperative media handler is hosted by a media handler host, said software agents collect information about the exposure of said panel members to said media handler host, and said method further comprises the step of providing at least a portion of said collected information about the exposure of said panel members to said media handler host to a provider of said media handler host.

25 79. The method according to claim 77, wherein said collected information is provided to said cooperative media handler provider in the form of a custom research report analyzing said exposure to said media handler.

30 80. The method according to claim 77, wherein said collected information is provided to said cooperative media handler provider in the form of raw data.

81. The method according to claim 77, wherein said collected information includes information about the distribution of said cooperative media handler.
- 5** 82. The method according to claim 77, wherein said collected information includes information about the demographics of said panel members using said cooperative media handler.
83. The method according to claim 77, wherein said collected information
- 10** includes information about the psychographics of said panel members using said cooperative media handler.
84. The method according to claim 77, wherein said collected information includes information about when said panel members use said cooperative media
- 15** handler.
85. The method according to claim 77, wherein said collected information includes information about how often said panel members use said cooperative media handler.
- 20**
86. A method for measuring the interactions of a panel with a cooperative media handler, said panel comprising a plurality of panel members, said method comprising the steps of:
- associating one or more software agents with each of said panel
- 25** members, said software agents collecting information about the interactions of said panel members with said cooperative media handler; and
- providing at least a portion of said collected information to a provider of said cooperative media handler.
- 30** 87. The method according to claim 86, wherein said cooperative media handler is hosted by a media handler host, said software agents collect information

about the interactions of said panel members with said media handler host, and said method further comprises the step of providing at least a portion of said collected information about the interactions of said panel members with said media handler host to a provider of said media handler host.

**5**

88. The method according to claim 86, wherein said collected information is provided to said cooperative media handler provider in the form of a custom research report analyzing said interactions with said media handler.

**10** 89. The method according to claim 86, wherein said collected information is provided to said cooperative media handler provider in the form of raw data.

90. The method according to claim 86, wherein said collected information includes information about how said panel members use said cooperative media handler.

**15**

91. The method according to claim 86, wherein said collected information includes information about the use of features of said cooperative media handler used by said panel members.

**20** 92. A method of obtaining information about a cooperative media handler that presents electronic media to an individual, said method comprising the steps of:  
obtaining information about the exposure of said individual to said cooperative media handler; and

**25** providing at least a portion of said obtained information for collection by a software agent, said software agent being assigned to track said individual.

93. The method according to claim 92, wherein said obtained information includes information about the demographics of said individual.

**30** 94. The method according to claim 92, wherein said obtained information includes information about the psychographics of said individual.

95. The method according to claim 92, wherein said obtained information includes information about when said individual uses said cooperative media handler.
- 5** 96. The method according to claim 92, wherein said obtained information includes information about how often said individual uses said cooperative media handler.
97. The method according to claim 92, wherein said cooperative media
- 10** handler is hosted by a media handler host, said software agents collect information about the exposure of said individual to said media handler host, and said method further comprises the step of providing at least a portion of said collected information about the exposure of said individual to said media handler host to a provider of said media handler host.
- 15**
98. The method according to claim 92, wherein said software agents collect information about the interactions of said individual with said cooperative media handler, and said method further comprises the step of providing at least a portion of said collected information about the interactions of said individual with said media
- 20** handler host to a provider of said media handler host.

1/23




FIG. 1

2/23




FIG. 2

3/23





FIG. 3

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)



4/23

FIG. 4



5/23

FIG. 5: PANEL MEMBER DATABASE TABLE

540	545	550	555	560	565	570	575	580	585

6/23

FIG. 6: REGISTERED MEDIA DATABASE TABLE

	640	645	650	655	660	665	670
	Media ID (M <sub>1</sub> )	Registrant	Agency	Media Type	ID Tag (C <sub>2</sub> )	Start Date	End Date
605	123456	MSNBC	NBC	MPEG-2	1110...1101	2/1/01	3/1/01
610	123457	Starwave	Starwave	Java Applet	1010...1011	2/14/01	2/28/01
615	123458	AT&T Universal Card	McCann-Erickson	PNG File	1111...0101	7/7/01	7/31/01
620	144231	IBM	Ogilvy & Mather	Acrobat File	0001...1000	12/15/01	12/31/01

7/23

Encryption Keys Database Table

	740	745	700	750	755
	Key Pair ID	Key Pair Owner	Public Key	Private Key	
705	K1001	RDCA50101	1011...1001	0101...1101	
710	K1002	RDCA50102	0001...1101	1111...0010	
715	K1003	MRC0001	1010...1100	1011...0001	
S					
720	K10044	RDCA50159	1011...1101	1100...0110	

FIG. 7

8/23

FIG. 8: LOG ENTRY DATABASE TABLE

830	835	840	842	845	850	855	860
Entry ID	RDC Agent ID	Media Handler ID	Media Handler Host ID	Registered Media ID	Panel Member IDs	Date	Time
805	123456	RDC73211	MHH2112	123456	41023,21121	07/07/2001	14:02:00
810	123457	RDC74123	MHH4123	0	24101	07/07/2001	14:02:01
815	123458	RDC71212	MHH1675	0	41511	07/07/2001	14:02:01
820	144231	RDC41411	MHH5121	312117	16211	10/30/2001	7:12:15

865	870	875
Metadata	Contextual Information	Presentation Information
Program	Media Type	URL
805	none	HTML Page
810	none	HTML Page
815	Seinfeld	HDTV
820	none	MPEG-2

Source	Format
www.cnn.com/finance/	B/W
www.news.com	B/W
CBS-HDTV	HDTV
www.starwars.com	PIP

880

User Interactions				
	Zoom	Rotate	Size	User Input
805	no	no	large	none
810	yes	no	medium	none
815	no	no	full screen	none

820	no	no	letterbox	none
-----	----	----	-----------	------

9/23

Local Panel Member  
Database Table

	940 Panel Member ID Number	945 Panel Member Tracking Semaphore
905	12312	In Scope
910	32311	Out of Scope
915	12322	Out of Scope

FIG. 9

10/23

Local Encryption Keys  
Database Table

	1040	1045	1000	1050
	Local Key ID	Local Pair ID	Key	
1005	K1	K102212	0101...1110	
1010	K2	K102322	1100...0011	
1015	K3	K104332	0111...0000	
1020	K4	K103221	1100...1000	

FIG. 10

II/23




FIG. II






FIG. 12A




FIG. 12B




FIG. 12C

14/23




FIG. 13

15/23




FIG. 14

16/23




FIG. 15A

17/23




FIG. 15B




FIG. 15C

19/23





FIG. 15D



20/23

FIG. 15E



21/23





FIG. 15F



**FIG. 16**  
SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)




FIG. 17

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int lional Application No  
PCT/US 98/12770

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 6 G06F17/60

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 G06F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P, X	WO 98 26571 A (AT & T CORP) 18 June 1998 see abstract; claims 1-27; figures 3,6,7 see page 5, line 17 - page 10, line 9 see page 10, line 32 - page 14, line 20 ---	1-98
X	FRANKLIN M K ET AL: "Auditable metering with lightweight security" FINANCIAL CRYPTOGRAPHY FIRST INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE, FC '97. PROCEEDINGS, FINANCIAL CRYPTOGRAPHY FIRST INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE, FC'97. PROCEEDINGS, ANGUILLA, 24-28 FEB. 1997, 24 February 1997, pages 151-160, XP002065347 ISBN 3-540-63594-7, 1997, Berlin, Germany, Springer-Verlag, Germany see page 155, line 20 - page 160 --- -/--	1-98

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

5 November 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

24/11/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA  
European Patent Office, P.B. 5816 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Gardiner, A

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int. l. Application No  
PCT/US 98/12770

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 97 15007 A (BRITISH TELECOMM ; SHARPLES PETER ADRIAN (GB); FAUTH MARCO JUERGEN) 24 April 1997 see abstract; claims 1-23; figures 1-6 see page 2, line 31 - page 3, line 27 see page 4, line 21 - page 12, line 27 ----	1-98
A	COOPER L F: "More than just hits Web advertising" INFORMATIONWEEK, 2 DEC. 1996, CMP PUBLICATIONS, USA, no. 608, page 63, 68, 72 XP002082816 ISSN 8750-6874 see the whole document ----	1-98
A	WIEDLING H -P: "HOTJAVA - EIN WWW-BROWSER FUER NACHLADBARE OBJEKTE" OBJEKTSPECTRUM, no. 5, September 1995, page 68/69 XP002003462 -----	1-98

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 98/12770

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9826571 A	18-06-1998	NONE	
WO 9715007 A	24-04-1997	AU 7311196 A	07-05-1997
		EP 0856171 A	05-08-1998
		NO 981693 A	15-06-1998

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)